

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA :

:

VS. :

CRIMINAL NUMBER

05 – 26 ERIE

:

**ALESHA M. EBERLE,
DEFENDANT**

:

:

ORDER OF COURT

AND NOW, this _____ day of _____, 2005,
upon consideration of the within Unopposed Motion to Extend Time for Filing Pretrial
Motions, it is hereby **ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED** that said motion be
and hereby is **GRANTED**;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the extension of time caused by this
continuance be deemed excludable under the Speedy Trial Act 18 U.S.C. § 3161 et seq.
Specifically, the court finds that the ends of Justice served by granting this continuance
outweigh the best interest of the public and the Defendant to a speedy trial, 18 U.S.C. §
3161 (h) (8) (A), since, for the reasons stated in the Defendant's motion, the failure to
grant such continuance would deny counsel for the Defendant reasonable time necessary
for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. §
3161 (h) (8) (B) (iv).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any pretrial motions referred to in Local Criminal Rule 12.1 are due on or before January 23, 2006.

BY THE COURT:

Hon. Sean J. McLaughlin
United States District Judge

CC: Counsel of Record